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For the production: The Taming of the Shrew (2017, Original Practice Shakespeare Festival at the Mission Theatre). See production details at the end of the review.

"Why should anyone be frightened by a hat?"
— Antoine de Saint-Exupéry, The Little Prince

For the Original Practice Shakespeare Festival (OPS Fest), the text of William Shakespeare's First Folio is a wellspring for improvisational comedy. The troupe employs an actor-oriented original practice, referred to as First Folio technique by practitioners, to render accessible Renaissance theater to Portland and the Pacific Northwest region. The troupe is nearly a decade into their mission of adding two Shakespeare plays into their repertoire each summer until they can rotate the entire canon. In a culturally "white" region that prides itself on progressivism, there are a few plays that pose unique challenges, either in terms of casting, namely Othello, or ethics, such as The Taming of the Shrew. OPS Fest's recent performance of Shrew at the Mission Theatre was a test-run of sorts, designed to consider whether it is an ethically stage-able play in 2017.

Three crucial and unique elements of their performance worked to acknowledge and account for the misogyny and depictions of violence against women in Shrew. It is helpful to note that the troupe doesn't rehearse in the traditional text-oriented blocking sense, but instead gets together for several weekends of playgroup activities, stretching out and developing their improvisational muscle. The day of the performance, each actor is given a scroll with just their part and two iamb-worth of cues. Often, they don't know who is playing whom, and whether they are playing characters as male, female, or non-binary. To help keep the company on-track, a Prompter is incorporated into the action, this time played by company manager Beth Yocam. Typically, the Prompter corrects dropped lines, helps an actor find their cues, encourages audience participation in subtle ways, or occasionally interrupts the action to highlight and make entertaining an otherwise inexplicable oddity in the text. For example, in 1.1 Lucentio (Joel Patrick Durham) says, "For in a quarrel since I came ashore / I kill'd a man, and fear I was descried"; the Prompter interjected to ask, "Why did you kill someone, Lucentio?" The actor then
must make up a response or backstory, often capitalizing on the space and any physical comedy
gags that are beginning to take root in the production. Likewise, Petruchio (Brian Burger) was
asked to perform the “wooing dance” he mentions in passing in 1.2 to hilarious effect. In this
case, dressed as a referee in a black-and-white uniform, the Prompter could re-direct, halt the
action, or otherwise intervene for an audience member if need be. (Such a need never arose.)

Brian Allard, founding artistic director of the troupe, took the part of Christopher Sly, doubly-
difficult because of the need to manage comic interjections as well as a lack of clear exit or
resolution to the framing device of the play. The costume juxtaposition of a loose plaid shirt,
worn denim, deep-brown ale in hand, and now-ubiquitous red baseball cap closely
associated with the 2016 U.S. presidential elections for Sly, as opposed to tight black jeans,
fitted shirts, and chunky Doc Martins for the lovers, powerfully reflected the contrast between Portland and rural Oregon politics. Much in the news, with Ammon Bundy and his compatriots found not guilty for their martial occupation of the Malheur National Wildlife Refuge, the local political climate added topical immediacy to these visual clues. The production is staged in The Mission Theatre, formerly the Swedish Evangelical Mission Covenant Church before being saved from demolition and retrofitted into an early twentieth-century theater space and brew pub, which is filled with cushioned couches and low tables perfect for its cocktails-for-two clientele. The space itself reflects the tension between west coast foodie culture and regional history. Sly, lolling on one of the couches with the Lord (Alec Lugo) for much of the production, performed his cued interjections as well as ad-libbing a few of his own. For example, in response to Lucentio's enquiry about lodging in act 1, Sly interjects, "You can stay with me, man." When Baptista lamented in act 2, "Was ever gentleman thus griev'd as I?", Sly responds, "I was once." And perhaps the greatest success for the baseball cap allusion was in Tranio's act 5 query, "Have you ever been at Pisa?" with Sly answering, "Immigration is hard!" None of these interjections were sexually explicit or pointed as overtly referencing current U.S. politics. Rather, they all gestured toward systemic inequalities. Tellingly, while the specificity of
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Sly’s costume gave playgoers a clear object to despise, his interjections were lightly chuckled at and otherwise ignored.

The red baseball cap was not the only powerfully allusive prop hat in the production. It is important to note that actors make their own costuming and performance choices largely exclusive of one another, and then bring those pieces together on the day of performance. Allard could not have predicted that Burger would interpret Petruchio’s “motley” by coming to the wedding scene in a film-accurate Harry Potter robe. Nor could he have predicted the inspired decision by Shandi Muff, an accomplished costumer in her own right, playing Kate, to come to act five with a bright pink, knitted pussyhat, calling attention to the massive Women’s Marches in Portland and Washington, D.C. (now widely considered the largest U.S. protest in history) a few months earlier. While I cannot say her interpretation completely recovers Kate’s speech in 5.2, it does make a new reading of it very convincing. To a room full of men, many with military backgrounds, and a widow not on her side, Kate’s speech begins, “Fie, fie! unknit that threatening unkind brow.” The “unknit” of her speech calls attention to her pink knit hat. We are asked to think about women’s rights movements in all their forms—suffrage, equal pay, healthcare and family planning—which frame’s Kate in this moment as responding and still resisting to patriarchal structures. To my ears, the rebuke of “Fie! Fie!” and the request to relax a
furrowed brow sounds like the rhetoric of deescalation that has greeted much 20th and 21st
century activism. Furthermore, imbued with a new quality of subtle, virtuous resistance, Kate’s
c character begins, with the help of the knit hat as a positioning tool, to seem something akin
to King Lear’s Kent or Othello’s Emilia.

In addition to the Prompter and the careful use of hats, the production was followed with a talk-
back led by Lauren Saville (a company member and professional therapist), who used expressive
arts therapy praxis to organize the playgoers’ processing of the play. Having been encouraged to
express their displeasure with booing and hissing throughout the performance, the audience of
around seventy seemed comfortable to engage with Saville, Allard, and the entire cast who
participated in the talk-back. It was interesting to note that the loudest disapproval was not
aimed at Sly’s interjections or Kate’s final speech, but at three sexually-explicit asides made by
Gremio (Michael Streeter) and aimed at Bianca. Openly reflecting on this with the audience, the
talk-back group slowly realized that, for this production, the misogyny of the play perhaps lay
not in individual characters. The male community colludes in its subjection of women to
psychological control. The female community likewise fails to support those particularly
targeted, such as the motherless Kate and Bianca. If the performance was designed as a test
run, the result suggested by the audience was, "yes, we do need this Shakespeare play now, pussy-knit and red caps alike."
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